UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,517	01/18/2005	Ingrid Maja Guenther	TS8577US 2025		
Jennifer D Ada	7590 04/25/200 mson	7	EXAM	INER	
Shell Oil Company			PRICE, CARL D		
Intellectual Property PO Box 2463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77252-2463			3749		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/521,517	GUENTHER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CARL D. PRICE	3749	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	_
•	CARL D. PRICE	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	i E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tion for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	·	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			~
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidate description or the date of filing a North description or the date of filing and description or the date of filing a North description or the date of filing and description of filing a north description of filing and description of filing and description of filing a north description of filing and description of filing a north description of the date of filing a north description of filing a north descripti	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′	ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1\1/	
13. Other:		(NU W	
		Land	-
		CARL D. PRICE Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 3749	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Issues that would require further search and/or consisteration include at least the following: The scope of applicant's independent claim 1 has been broadened by deletion of the limitations included in the recitation "a Fischer-Tropsch derived fuel in the burner to obtain flue gases and a flame wherein the Fischer-Tropsch derived fuel eomprises a Fischer-Tropsch product which contains more than 80 wt% of iso and normal paraffins less than 1 wt% aromatics less than 5 ppm sulfur and less than 1 ppm nitrogen and wherein the density of the Fischer-Tropsch derived product is between 0.65 and 0.8 g/era3 at 15 C.". In addition, the scope of independent claim 1 has been changed to include "performing one or more procedures" related to specified heating applications.